

REMARKS

Claims 1-7 are presented for consideration, with Claim 1 being independent.

The specification and abstract have been reviewed and amended to correct minor informalities and improve their idiomatic English form. In addition, Claim 1 has been amended to further distinguish Applicant's invention from the cited art. Claim 8 has been cancelled.

Claims 1-7 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as allegedly being anticipated by U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0135536, to Bruning (Bruning '536). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claim 1 of Applicant's invention relates to a display system comprising an image processing device, a first display device for displaying an image on a first display unit, and a second display device for displaying an image on a second display unit. In addition, a first coordinate value input device is provided in correspondence with the first display unit, and a second coordinate value input device is provided in correspondence with the second display unit. As amended, Claim 1 sets forth that the first and second display devices divisionally display an image to be displayed by the image processing device, with the first display device having an input unit for receiving data from the first coordinate value input device and data from the second coordinate value input device.

In accordance with Applicant's claimed invention, first and second display devices can be efficiently provided with input coordinate data.

Bruning '536 relates to a presentation display system that includes an input device 16 (first display device) and one or more remote user nodes 24 as displayed in Figure 6. As shown, the remote user nodes include a viewing device 34, or second display device. In contrast to Applicant's claimed invention, however, Bruning is not understood to teach or suggest, among other features, first and second display devices divisionally displaying an image to be displayed by an image processing device, with the first display device having an input unit for receiving data from a first coordinate value input device (provided in correspondence with the first display unit) and data from a second coordinate value input device (provided in correspondence with the second display unit). As understood, in Bruning the display devices 16 and 34 are part of a network system that includes network unit 22 and presentation device 14.

Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that Bruning fails to anticipate or render obvious Applicant's invention as set forth in independent Claim 1. Therefore, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of Claims 1-7 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) is respectfully requested.


Claim 8 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as allegedly being anticipated by Nielson '840. Without conceding to the propriety of this rejection, Claim 8 has been cancelled. This rejection is therefore deemed to be moot and should be withdrawn.

Accordingly, it is submitted that Applicant's invention as set forth in independent Claim 1 is patentable over the cited art. In addition, dependent Claims 2-7 set forth additional features of Applicant's invention. Independent consideration of the dependent claims is respectfully requested.

In view of the foregoing, reconsideration and allowance of this application is deemed to be in order and such action is respectfully requested.

Applicant's undersigned attorney may be reached in our Washington, D.C.
office by telephone at (202) 530-1010. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our
below-listed address.

Respectfully submitted,



Attorney for Applicant
Scott D. Malpede
Registration No. 32,533

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO
30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, New York 10112-3801
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

SDM/vmm

DC_MAIN 153813v1